C.S. 100/A (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2055 OF 2004

BETWEEN

Dilip Kumar Rahile S/o Nathuji Rahile, aged about 43 years, occupation Forest Guard, posted at Raingakhar Forest Range, Forest Division Kawardha District Kabirdham (CG).

PETITIONER

A N D

1. Union of India,
Through the Secretary Department of Personal and Training , Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi.

2. The Director (SRS)
Government of India Personnel Public Grievances and Pension Mantralay, Personnel and Training Department, IIIrd Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi.

3. The State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary Department of Forest, Mantralay, D.K.S.Building, Raipur (CG).

4. The State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through the Secretary Department of Forest, Mantralay, Vallabh Bhawan, BHOPAL (MP).

5. Pradhan Mukhya Van Sanrakshak,
Chhattisgarh, Raipur .

6. Pradhan Mukhya Van Sanrakshak, Madhya Pradesh,
Bhopal (MP).

7. The Divisional Forest Officer,
Forest Division, Kawardha,
District Kabirdham (CG).

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

P.R. No. 21150/04 Presented by Shri D.P. Singh dated 20.7.04

VINAY HARIT Senior Central Government Standing Counsel CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

Received Copy 20/7/04 Bilaspur

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(37)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक 10-P-2055 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	26-7-2004 Shri Ajit Singh, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Dashrath Gupta, Panel Lawyer for the State. Application (I.A.No.4823/04) for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. The matter relates to allocation. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present ends of justice will be served if he is allowed to make a representation afresh before respondent No.3, which shall be considered as early as possible in accordance with law. Learned State counsel has no objection. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation afresh raising his grievances before respondent No.3 along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a fifteen, and on such representation being filed, respondent No.3 shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a month from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P.No.1852/2004 & I.A.No.4849/2004 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	